| Case 1:07-cv-10848-AKH Docur | ment 1 Filed 11/08/2007 Page 1 of 11 |
|---|---|
| UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK | |
| IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION | 21 MC 100 (AKH) |
| GARY SMITH AND MARYLOU SMITH | DOCKET NO. |
| Plaintiffs, | CHECK-OFF ("SHORT FORM") COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLAINT |
| - against - | |
| A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL., | PLAINTIFF(S) DEMAND A TRIAL BY JURY |
| SEE ATTACHED RIDER, | |
| Defendants. | |
| • | Hellerstein, United States District Judge, dated June 22, ats for all Plaintiffs were filed on August 18, 2006. |
| NOT | TICE OF ADOPTION |
| instant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth herein in | ster Complaint are applicable to and are adopted by the addition to those paragraphs specific to the individual arked with an ' \square '' if applicable to the instant Plaintiff(s), led, below. |

Plaintiffs, GARY SMITH AND MARYLOU SMITH, by his/her/their attorneys WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, complaining of Defendant(s), respectfully allege:

I. PARTIES

A. PLAINTIFF(S)

| citizen of N | ew York residing at 1726 Jan | ` | red Plaintiff"), is an individual a 1793- | |
|--------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| | ow ronk residing at 1720 var | (OR) | 1175 | |
| 2. | Alternatively, \square | is the | of Decedent | |
| | , and brings this claim | in his (her) capacity as | of the Estate of | |

| | | ent 1 Filed 11/08/2007 Page 2 of 11 |
|-------------------|--|---|
| | | nafter the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a citizen of New 1793-, and has the following relationship to the Injured |
| | GARY SMITH, and brings | s herein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff this derivative action for her (his) loss due to the band (his wife), Plaintiff GARY SMITH. |
| Г | Parent | |
| | n the period from 9/11/2001 to 3/20 NY) as a Firefighter at: | 0/2002 the Injured Plaintiff worked for Fire Department |
| Ple | ase be as specific as possible when | filling in the following dates and locations |
| | rade Center Site | □ The Barge |
| Location(s) (i.e. | , building, quadrant, etc.) | From on or about; Approximately hours per day; for |
| | at <u>9/11/2001</u> until <u>3/20/2002</u> ; <u>12</u> hours per day; for | Approximately days total. |
| Approximately | | ☐ Other:* For injured plaintiffs who worked at |
| | ork City Medical Examiner's Office | Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the |
| | ut, until,hours per day; for | dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total |
| Approximately . | days total. | days, and for the employer, as specified below: |
| ☐ The Fresh K | ====================================== | From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for |
| | ut; | Approximately days total; |
| | hours per day; for days total. | Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite: |
| *Continue this | | paper if necessary. If more space is needed to specify arate sheet of paper with the information. |
| 5. I | njured Plaintiff | |
| | Was exposed to and breather bove; | I noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated |
| | Was exposed to and inhaled lates at the site(s) indicated above; | or ingested toxic substances and particulates on all |
| <u>t</u> | Was exposed to and absorbed the site(s) indicated above; | d or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at |
| 5 | Other: Not yet determined. | |

6.

| U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable. Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable. Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdraw by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable. Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § | Injured | l Plaintiff |
|--|---------|---|
| 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable. □ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdraw by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable. □ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any | V | §405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 |
| by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable. □ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any | | 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 |
| 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any | | , , , |
| | | 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any |

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

| ☐ THE CITY OF NEW YORK | ☑ A RUSSO WRECKING |
|---|--|
| ☐ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and | ☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC. |
| served on and | \square ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC. |
| | ☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT, |
| ☐ pursuant to General Municipal Law §50- | INC. |
| h the CITY held a hearing on(OR) | ☑ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC. |
| ☐ The City has yet to hold a hearing as | ☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED |
| required by General Municipal Law §50-h | HAULING, LLC, INC. |
| \square More than thirty days have passed and | ☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP |
| the City has not adjusted the claim | ☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL |
| (OR) | CORPORATION |
| ☐ An Order to Show Cause application to | ☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC. |
| deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of | ☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION |
| Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant | ☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC. |
| Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim | ☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS, |
| Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of | INC. |
| Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a | ☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION |
| determination | CORP |
| ☐ is pending | ☐ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC. |
| ☐ Granting petition was made on | ☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC. |
| ☐ Denying petition was made on | ☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP |
| | ☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC. |
| ☐ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND | \square BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP. |
| NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"] | ☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS, |
| ☐ A Notice of Claim was filed and served | P.C. |
| pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The | ☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP |
| Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New | ☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP |
| York on | ☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF |
| ☐ More than sixty days have elapsed since | NEW YORK, INC. |
| the Notice of Claim was filed, (and) | ☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC |
| ☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has | ☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC. |
| adjusted this claim | ☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH |
| the PORT AUTHORITY has not | ☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP |
| adjusted this claim. | ☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC. |
| | ☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC. |
| □ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC | ✓ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC. |
| ☐ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC | ☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS |
| ☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC | CORP |
| ☐ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC | ✓ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY |
| ☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC | ✓ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC. |
| □ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC □ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC | ☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC. |
| , | ☑ EJ DAVIES, INC. ☑ EN-TECH CORP |
| 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC | ☐ ET ENVIRONMENTAL |
| ☐ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC ☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P. | |
| L / WORLD INADE COMPANI, L.F. | □EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL |

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

Please read this document carefully.

☐ OTHER:

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

✓ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

✓ YONKERS CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC.

✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC.

| ☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner | ☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Name: |
| Business/Service Address: | |
| Building/Worksite Address: | Building/Worksite Address: |
| ☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee | C |
| Name: | |
| Business/Service Address: | |
| Building/Worksite Address: | |

Case 1:07-cv-10848-AKH Document 1 Filed 11/08/2007 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

| Stabil | unded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; speciation Act of 2001, (or); Federal Officers: Contested, by al jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28 | Jurisdi ut the U.S.C | iction, (or); Other (specify): Court has already determined that it has C. § 1441. |
|-----------------|---|----------------------------|---|
| of lial law: | | | d defendants based upon the following theories a such a claim under the applicable substantive |
| V | Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240 | V | Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation |
| \ | Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6) | | ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ✓ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided |
| V | Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a | | (specify:); ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined |
| | Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e | | Wrongful Death |
| | | V | Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff |

Other: _

Case 1:07-cv-10848-AKH Document 1 Filed 11/08/2007 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

| | Cancer Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: | | Cardiovascular Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: |
|----------|---|---|--|
| V | Respiratory Injury: Cough; Laryngitis; RADS; Shortness of Breath; Sinus Problems; Sinusitis Normal or No CT Scan/Endoscope; Wheezing Date of onset: 11/23/2005 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date | | Fear of Cancer Date of onset: 11/23/2005 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date |
| V | Digestive Injury: <u>GERD</u> Date of onset: <u>11/23/2005</u> Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: <u>To be supplied at a later date</u> | V | Other Injury: Sleeping Problems Date of onset: 11/23/2005 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date |

NOTE: The foregoing is *NOT* an exhaustive list of injuries that may be alleged.

2. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries identified in paragraph "1", above, the

| Grou dama | and Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer the following compensable ages: |
|---------------------|---|
| ==== √ | Pain and suffering |
| V | Loss of the enjoyment of life |
| \checkmark | Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity |
| ✓ | Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation |
| V | Other: ✓ Mental anguish ✓ Disability ✓ Medical monitoring ✓ Other: Not yet determined. |

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York November 7, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Gary Smith and Marylou Smith

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway

12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice

in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of

perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the

plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other

than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the

file.

DATED: New York, New York

November 7, 2007

| Docket | No: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK |
|--------|--|
| | GARY SMITH (AND WIFE, MARYLOU SMITH), |
| | Plaintiff(s) - against - |
| | A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL., |
| | Defendant(s). |
| ==== | SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT |
| | WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700 |
| | To Attorney(s) for |
| | Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted. Dated, |
| | Attorney(s) for |
| ==== | PLEASE TAKE NOTICE: \[\begin{align*} \text{NOTICE OF ENTRY} \\ that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20 |
| | □ NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT that an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named Court, at on 20 at M. Dated, Yours, etc., WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP |